

Periodic Research

Effect of Plyometric Exercises on Horizontal Jump Performance

Abstract

The objective of the present study was to find out the "Effect of Plyometric Exercises on Horizontal Jump". The Plyometric exercises would help significantly to improve explosive power of legs was the hypothesis framed in the light of objective of the present study. The present study was conducted on forty two college going male students between age group 21 to 25 years. The subjects were the students of B.P.ED class of Government college of Physical Education Ganderbal Kashmir. They were randomly divided into two groups. Each group consists of twenty one students. One group was treated as Experimental group and other was treated as Control group. To find out the effect of Plyometric exercises on horizontal jump, Experimental Group was given training for the nine weeks. Pre and post-test of standing broad jump was taken for both the Experimental and Control group. 't' test method of statistics was applied to test the hypothesis taken for present study. The data of this study was collected by the use of AAHPER Youth Fitness Test (1976) on the students. The level of significance was set at 0.05 level of confidence.

Keywords: Physical Fitness, Exercise, AAPHER Test, Plyometrics, Horizontal Jump.



Bilal Ahmed Sheikh
Research Scholar,
Deptt. of Physical Education,
R.D.V.V. Jabalpur (M.P.)

Introduction

Physical fitness is defined as the state of general well being, physically sound and healthy, along with mental stability. It is the capacity of the person to meet the physical demands of daily life and carry out the day's activities without undue fatigue. Physical fitness is considered a measure of the body's ability to function efficiently and effectively in work and leisure activities, to be healthy, to resist hypo-kinetic diseases, and to meet emergency situations. Fitness has a great importance and its significance increased day by day. Every person has different level of fitness which may change with time, place, work or situation. So fitness got attached to it. The performance in most of the sports is determined by three factors namely physical fitness, technique and tactics. Lack of knowledge about physical fitness is an important cause of relatively poor performance of our sports men in the international competitions. Strength is one such component which influences the performance and special attention has to be paid to it. There are three main forms of strength viz. maximum strength, explosive strength and strength endurance. Strength can be developed in many ways such as weight lifting, bounding with or without resistance, various jumping drills, and of course depth jumping or Plyometric exercises.

Review of Literature

The research scholar has gone through the available related literature, which are relevant to the present study and have been presented in Plyometric training.

Swanik.G et al, (2002) this study suggests that Plyometric activities may facilitate neural adaptations that enhance proprioception, kinesthesia and muscle performance characteristics. Significant neuromuscular benefits may be attained if they are implemented earlier into shoulder rehabilitation programs.

Turner, Owings and Schwane, (2003) studied to determine whether a 6-week regimen of Plyometric training would improve running economy. Eighteen regular but not highly trained distance runners were randomly assigned to experimental and control groups. All subjects continued regular running for 6 weeks; experimental subjects were also given polymeric training.

Masamoto et al, (2003) studied on the acute effects of Plyometric exercise maximum squat performance in trained male athletes. This study examines the acute effects of Plyometric exercise on one repetition

Periodic Research

maximum(RM) squat performance in trained male athletes Twelve men mean age +/-SD:20.5+/-1.4years) volunteered to participated in 3 testing sessions separated by at least 6 days of rest.

Toumi H, Best et al, (2004) examined the Effects of eccentric phase velocity of Plyometric training on the vertical jump. The aim of the study was to compare the effects of Plyometric training performed with rapid (or) slow stretch contractions on jump performance and muscle properties were compared. Thirty males between the ages of 19 and 22 volunteered for the 8- week experiment.

Significance of the Study

Research shows that the powerful and fast movements in plyo training can boost the neuromuscular system because it encourages quick and powerful muscular contractions. It is among the best ways to increase jumping power. This is important for long jumpers and also develops explosive power. Plyo training also influences our physical durability. The findings of present study would provide information to coaches, trainers, scientists and sportspersons about the effects of Plyometric exercises on jumping performance.

Hypothesis

In the light of the objective of the present study, it was hypothesized that Plyometric exercises would help to improve explosive power of legs.

Sampling

Forty two male students in the age group of 21 to 25 years studying in B.P.ED class at Govt. College of Physical Education Ganderbal, Kashmir, were selected randomly for the experiment of this study. Two groups were formed for the experiment namely Experimental Group (N=21) and Control Group (N=21).

Research Design

An experimental type of study was designed to find out effects of Plyometric exercises on horizontal jump performance. Experimental group (Group A) was given was given Plyometric training thrice a week for nine weeks. The training was given for forty minutes on alternate days of the week. Each and every technique of Plyometric exercises were demonstrated personally by researcher before putting them into training. For first two weeks none of them was allowed to perform jumping exercises on Plyometric boxes, because this was absolutely new experience for them and there were much more chances of injuries. There are about seventeen exercises recommended by James C. Radcliffe and Robert C. Farentinos in his book namely High-Powered Plyometric. These advanced exercises are:

Pogo	Squat Jump	Box Jump
Rocket Jump	Star Jump	Double-Leg Butt kicks
Knee-Tuck Jump	Single-Leg Stride Jump	Stride Jump Crossover
Quick Leap	Depth Jump	Box Jump
Alternate Leg Bound	Box Skip	Box Bound
Double-Leg Hop progression	Side Hop	

Control group (Group 'B') was treated as control group and was not subjected to any experimental

treatment. However, the control group was allowed to engage themselves in daily routine physical activities.

Data for study was collected with the help of Pre-Test & Post-Test of horizontal jump from both the groups namely experimental group and control group. Both pre-test & post-test were tabulated for statistical analysis. Beside descriptive statistics" test was employed to find out the significant differences between pre-test and post-test scores of the Experimental and Control group.

Results and Discussion


The collected data was tabulated and computerized to draw meaningful conclusion. Mean, standard deviation and mean difference of pre and post test of standing broad jump has been presented in the tables 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and depicted in graph as well.

Table 1.1: Mean, Mean Differences, Standard Deviation and 'T'- Value Between Pre and Post-Test of Experimental Group

Group	Mean	Mean Difference	Std. Deviation	"t"
Experimental Group	1.841 2.111	0.270	0.146 0.220	9.077*

Significant at 0.05 level

t .05(20) = 2.09



Graphical presentation of pre-test and post test of experimental group

The above table shows the results of Mean, Standard Deviation and Mean differences between pre and post-test values of standing broad jump of Experimental group were 1.841&2.111, 0.146&0.220 and 0.270 respectively. It indicated that there exists significant mean difference between pre and post-test of Experimental group that was recorded 0.270. When the 't' ratio was applied to find out the statistical difference between pre and post tests, the computed 't' value 9.077 was greater than the table value of 2.09 and thus scores of post test was highly significant at 0.05 level of confidence.


Table 1.2: Mean, Mean Differences, Standard Deviation And 'T'- Value Between Pre and Post-Test of Control Group:

Group	Mean	Mean Difference	Std. Deviation	"t"
Control Group	1.874 1.868	0.006	0.161 0.163	1.813

Non-significant at 0.05 level

t .05(20) = 2.09

Periodic Research




Graphical presentation of pre-test and post test of Control group

The results of Mean, Standard Deviation and Mean differences between pre and post-test values of standing broad jump of Control group were 1.874 & 1.868, 0.161 & 0.163 and 0.006 respectively. It showed that there exists non-significant mean difference between pre and post-test of Control group that was 0.006. When 't' ratio was applied to find out the statistical difference between pre and post tests, the computed 't' value 1.813 was less than the table value of 2.06, thus the results showed no significant difference between pre and post-test scores of standing broad jump of Control group.

Table 1.3: Mean, Mean Differences, Standard Deviation and 'T'- Value between Post-Test of Experimental and Control Group

Groups	Mean	Mean Difference	Std. Deviation	't'
Experimental Group	2.111	0.243	0.220	4.076*
Control Group	1.868		0.163	

Significant at 0.05 level $t_{.05(41)} = 2.02$



Graphical presentation of pre-test and post test of experimental and control group

The table 1.3 reveals the Mean, Standard Deviation and Mean difference of post tests of Experimental and Control group that were 2.111 & 1.868, 0.220 & 0.163 and 0.243 respectively. It is evident that there exists significant difference between Mean scores of post tests of Experimental and Control group that was 0.243. The computed 't' value of 4.076 was greater than the table value of 2.02, thus indicating that scores of post test of Experimental group was highly significant than the scores of post test of Control group.

Discussion on Findings

The Subjects selected for the present study were students of Govt. College of Physical Education Ganderbal Kashmir. They were randomly divided into two groups namely Experimental Group and Control Group. The Experimental group was given training for nine weeks whereas Control group was not given any type of training, but was permitted to join regular classes.

The Mean value and Standard Deviation of pre-test and post-test of Experimental group were 1.841, 2.111 and 0.146, 0.220 respectively. When statistical comparison of pre-test and post-test of Experimental group was compared, the post-test was better than pre-test of this group ('t' 9.077).

The findings regarding Mean value and Standard Deviation of pre and post-test of Control group were 1.874, 1.868 and 0.161, 0.163 respectively. The statistical comparison of the pre and post-test of Control group showed statistically non-significant difference ('t'=1.813).

The Mean value and Standard Deviation of post-test of Experimental group and Control group were 2.111, 1.868 and 0.220, 0.163. The statistical comparison of post-test of these two groups predict that the Experimental group was more better than the Control group i.e.; the difference was statistically found significant at 0.05 level of confidence ('t' = 4.076).

Major Conclusions

On the basis of present study following conclusions have been drawn.

1. There was non-significant difference in pre-test and post-test of Control group.
2. There existed significant difference in pre-test and post-test of Experimental group.
3. There existed significant difference in post-test of Experimental group and post-test of Control group.

Recommendations

From the results of the present study, it is clear that the Plyometric exercises are the most essential part of sports that needs jumping abilities.

1. The study may be conducted on both males as well as female players at difference level of sports participation.
2. It may be meaningful to compare on large number of the sample.
3. The Plyometric exercise should be the necessarily part of the training schedule for all levels of the competitions.

4. The study may be conducted on various students of various colleges to check their physical efficiency or explosive power.

References:

1. Chatzinikolaou.D, "The time course of changes in performance and inflammatory responses after acute plyometric exercise" *Journal of sports science*. 54:1 (2010) 80-87
2. Climstein.M, "The Effect of Six Weeks of Squat Plyometric and Squat-Plyometric Training on Power Production" *Journal of Applied Sports Science Research*. 6:1 (2000) 36-41.
3. Devinder. K Kansal, "Test and Measurement in Sports and Physical Education"
4. D V S publications (1996) 262-266
5. Drink water EJ, "Effect of an acute bout of plyometric exercise on neuromuscular fatigue and recovery in recreational athletes" *Journal of training and conditioning*. 28:1 (2009) 1483-1496
6. Fritzsche.F, Leg power Exercises for Young Athletes. *Modern Athlete and Coach*. 11: 2(1977) 21-24
7. Gambetta V. Principal of Plyometric Training Track Technique. EFV publications (1987) 399-404
8. Henson.PC. Depth Jumping with Box Drills, Track and Field Quarterly Review. 7:2 (1980) 56-57
9. Hewett TE, Stroupe AL, Nance TA, Noyes FR, "Plyometric training in female athletes". *International Journal of Sports Med*. 24:2 (2004) 765-773.
10. James C. Radcliffe and Robert C. Farentinos . High Powered Plyometrics. (1999) 41-96.
11. Johson and Jack K-Nelson. Practical Measurement for Evaluation in Physical Education. N S publications (1979) 202-203
12. Khelifa.R," Effect of the plyometric training programme with and without added load on jumping ability of basketball players". *Journal of strength and conditioning*. 24:11 (2010) 295-296
13. Kotzamindis.C," Effect of plyometric training on running performance and vertical jumping in pre-pubertal boys". *Journal of sports science and medicine*. 6:1 (2007) 519-521
14. Markovic.G, "Effect of sprint and plyometric training on muscle function and athletic performance". *Journal of conditioning and strength*.21:2 (2007) 543-549
15. Masamoto et. al, " Acute effects of plyometric exercises on maximum squat performance in male athletes". *International journal of fitness*. 4:1 (2008) 45-50
16. Meylam.C, "Effects of in season plyometric training within soccer practice on explosive actions of young players". *Journal of human kinetics*. 28:5 (2009) 335-342
17. Raj Kumar," Effect of Six Weeks Plyometric Circuit Training on the Jumping Performance of Female College Players". P.H.D. Thesis Punjabi University Patiala. (1999) 9-88
18. Santos EJ, Janeira MA , "Effects of Plyometric Training followed by Detraining and Reduced Training Periods on Explosive Strength in Adolescent Male Basketball Players". *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 25:2 (2011) 441-452
19. Swanik KA, " The effects of shoulder plyometric training on proprioception and selected muscle performance characteristics" *Journal of strength and conditioning*. (2004) 39:1 24-31
20. Thomas et al, "Effect of two plyometric training techniques on muscular power and agility in youth soccer players" *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 23:1 (2009) 332-335
21. Turner, Owings and Schwane, " Improvement in running economy after 6 weeks of plyometric training" *Journal of strength and conditioning*. 17:1 (2003) 60-67
22. Toumi H. Best " Effect of eccentric training on running phase velocity of plyometric exercises vertical jump". *International journal of sports medicine*. 25:5 (2004) 391-408
23. Trzaskoma L, et al, "Effect of a short-term combined conditioning training for the development of leg strength and power". *International Journal of sports medicine*. 12:2 (2010) 121-132
24. Villarreal.D, "Effect of Plyometric training on sprint performance. *Journal of strength and conditioning*". 22:3 (2008) 715-725
25. Wallace BJ, "Quantification of vertical ground reaction forces of popular bilateral Plyometric exercises". *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 24:1 (2010) 207-212.